

Report of the 7th PECCOE meeting London 6 – 7 October 2004

Venue: Board Room
22 Berners Street

1. Opening

The Secretary called the meeting to order at 10:35 am and welcomed representatives from Contracting Parties. All Contracting Parties were represented except Poland and Estonia. A list of participants is given in document Annex A. Those documents available to the meeting are given in Annex B.

2. Election of Chairman and Vice Chairman

The Secretary informed the meeting that the incumbent Chairman had notified the Secretariat that it would be impossible for him to continue as chair of PECCOE due to a change of position in EU DG Fish. Two nominations had been circulated to Contracting Parties during the summer. The EU had nominated Mr Martin Newman (EU) and Norway had nominated Mr Mads Nedergaard, Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland). Mr Nedergaard informed the meeting that he withdrew his candidature. Martin Newman was then elected and took over the meeting. The Norwegian representative proposed Mr Nedergaard as Vice Chairman. This was agreed.

3 Appointment of rapporteur

The Secretariat was appointed rapporteur.

4 Adoption of the Agenda

After some rearrangement of agenda items, the amended agenda was adopted.

5 Questions relating to the implementation of the Schemes

The Chairman asked the Secretariat to introduce doc. 07/10 describing the implementation of transmissions and communication between FMCs and the Secretariat. Transmission implementation had already been discussed in the AHWG on Computerisation of the NEAFC Secretariat. Doc. 07/17 gives information on NCP activities in the Regulatory Area on which a proposal for the IUU list A can be based.

The Chairman stressed the very important new task this year to draw up make an A list under Article 9 of the NCP Scheme.. He outlined the responsibilities of the Secretariat with respect to follow up action. The Secretariat described how this information was handled. Information is gathered from the messages received but it is difficult to continue with procedures before additional information from those Contracting Parties sighting an NCP vessel has been obtained.

The Secretariat asked how Contracting Parties wanted the Secretariat to react. The Chairman suggested that the Secretariat should, in any case, approach the flag state and ask for comments.

All vessels should go on to the A list, with the possibility of removal when further information had been acquired. **The EU representative** indicated that the Secretariat should not make a decision on removals from the A list - that was for PECCOE to decide.

The Chairman summed up that a vessel sighted engaged in fishing activities should be put on the A list by the Secretariat. This, however, did not imply IUU fishing but just sighting of fishing activity within the RA. Vessels from EU countries cannot appear on the list after 1 May 2004. The Secretariat will approach Dominica and Panama regarding the sightings, but the results of this inquiry cannot be assessed by PECCOE until next year. **The EU representative** suggested that all sightings should be listed in a document, not just the first sighting. The Chairman reiterated that the first sighting should be put on the A list. Developments after the first sighting would be revealed in comments from the flag state of the NCP vessel.

On the basis of follow up action by the Secretariat under Article 7 of the Non-Contracting Party Scheme, PECCOE will make recommendations at the next meeting that vessels be removed from the A list or transferred to the B list. The A list is appended to this report as Annex C.

6. Review of compliance

The Chairman mentioned the reports on Inspection and Surveillance Activities from Contracting Parties and noted that there was no report from Russia.

The Chairman informed the meeting that NAFO had had a quite extensive exercise producing a comprehensive compliance review which established a framework for future work. NAFO also has strict procedures for the follow- up of infringements. He thought a similar exercise in NEAFC would be useful. There was general support for this and it was agreed to proceed in this way.

The Chairman suggested that a structure for reporting data should be developed. NAFO based its structure on the obligations set out in its Scheme, for Contracting Parties and for vessels. He suggested that the Secretariat drew up a list of obligations along these lines. This would allow a comparison of compliance for each single measure, both for Contracting Parties and vessels. The reporting format in NEAFC at present made comparison difficult.

The Committee discussed the reporting period. It was suggested that reporting by calendar year would be just as useful and this would open up for the possibility for PECCOE to meet earlier in the first half of the year. The Chairman suggested sticking to the present dates for the time being. If they cause problems, the dates should be reassessed.

Contracting Parties presented their reports

The Faroe Islands reported that one vessel should not be on the list. No comments from other Contracting Parties.

The EU drew attention to the revised document and some cases which have now been concluded. The Chairman wanted more information when it was reported that a case was concluded. This is important to keep follow-up transparent. **The representative of the**

Russian Federation questioned how EU inspection vessels had established that a number of Russian vessels had no licence. The EU explained that the statement was based on the fact that vessels were not on the lists of notified and authorised vessels.

Iceland: One vessel had been authorised and notified but that information had not reached the inspection platform. Latvia had asked for clarification on the findings on Dorado, but nothing had been heard since. **The EU representative** suggested that the sighting of a Maltese vessel in June should not be on the NCP table. **The Icelandic representative** asked if this vessel had been notified and authorised as a fishing vessels, as it undertook transshipment.

Norway: Presented its report. No comments

The Icelandic representative presented sightings of NCP vessels. He pointed out that they had boarded the vessel OLCHAN and referred to the information given in the inspection report. The Chairman found this information very important with respect to follow-up vis-à-vis the flag state.

A revised A list (Document 07/17 1 rev) including a detailed list of all sightings of non-Contracting Party vessels was presented. The Secretariat will follow up on the list vis-à-vis the flag states.

7. Mandates from the 20th Annual Meeting

a) Port State Control (mandate 1)

The meeting was reminded that the Committee had sought instruction from the Commission on the frequency of control of landings. No answer had been received. It was difficult to proceed without some guidance from the Commission. Last year Parties had agreed on a form for reporting. It was suggested that one first step might be to target vessels reported for infringements. It was agreed that this could be proposed to the Commission by the Committee.

It was agreed not to go further into the matter at this stage.

b) Conversion factors (mandate 5)

There was no further progress to report from any Contracting Party. The Chairman suggested keeping this item on the agenda and encouraged Parties to come up with new ideas to respond to this mandate.

8. Mandate from the 22nd Annual Meeting

- Lost and abandoned gear in the Convention Area

The Chairman asked **the Norwegian representative** to comment on progress on their proposal from last year. **The Norwegian representative** outlined the problem of ghost fishing. In deep water, nets can continue fishing for up to eight years. This particularly affects Greenland halibut. Norway has a policy of removing nets which are lost or abandoned from the sea. There have been contacts between Norway, Ireland and Scotland on this matter. Project Deepnet has started to try to throw light on this problem. The area around Rockall and the slopes west of the British Isles are of special of concern. NEAFC Contracting Parties have agreed that data of relevance in the VMS database, if confidentiality is fully respected, can be made available to the project if requested.

There was some discussion on the extent of the problem of ghost fishing and the need to regulate the use of gill nets. It was noted that a hidden source of fishing mortality was an issue for this Committee. It is necessary to quantify and locate the problem before the questions can be answered.

A Code of Conduct for gill net fisheries may be considered as an amendment to the Scheme. It was, however, agreed to wait for the report of the Deepnet project before proceeding in the matter.

9. Improvements to the Scheme concerning rules regulating transshipments between Contracting Party Vessels – proposal by Norway

The Norwegian representative presented the proposal to amend reports on transshipment as technical amendments to the Scheme. He pointed out that unreported or misreported transshipments have become important elements in IUU fishing and this has led to international initiatives. The Norwegian proposal is consistent with the FAO IPOA-IUU and brings the Scheme on par with that plan, improving the possibilities of inspecting transshipment. NAFO has agreed on notice by the donor vessel 24 hours in advance of the intention to tranship.

The Norwegian proposal implied new reports on transshipment. The donor vessel shall report 24 hours in advance of the transshipment. The receiving vessel shall report the catch taken on board no later than 1 hour after the transshipment, and finally the receiving vessel shall report in which port landings will take place 24 hours in advance. This information will be re-routed to port state authorities. The proposal contains two reports and in both these the introduction of new codes is suggested. **The Norwegian representative** also introduced a similar proposal concerning transshipments involving non-Contracting Parties.

After considerable discussion and suggested amendments to the proposal, there was general support for the proposal. An amended proposal was agreed and it was agreed to put it forward as a recommendation to the NEAFC Commission. (Recommendations 1 and 2)

10. Amendments to the NEAFC Scheme of Control and Enforcement, Annex VIII, D.2. Return messages - proposal by Iceland

The Icelandic representative presented a proposal for more detailed error codes for return messages. The proposal had also been presented to the AHWGC. The current limited list of

error codes is not satisfactory and there was general consensus in the AHWGC that this was a step forward.

The discussion centred on the question of the rejection of messages. Following amendments to the proposal to deal with this, the proposal appeared acceptable and should be recommended to the Commission. It was also agreed to recommend that return messages become optional in the Scheme. (Recommendation 3)

11. Continuation of the Pilot Project outlined in Article 11 of the NEAFC Scheme – proposal by Iceland

The Icelandic representative informed the meeting that the proposal simply suggested continuing the pilot project for another year. Not enough experience had been gained and, as yet, there is nothing to report. **The Icelandic representative** encouraged other Parties to involve their inspection vessels. This would be the real test of the system

After discussing the importance of involving inspection platforms in the project and deadlines for participation, there was consensus to allow the project to continue for one additional year and recommend this to the Commission. Full reporting would be expected next year. (Recommendation 4)

12. Control Measures regarding Deep-sea Species

Reference was made to the Technical Meeting on Deep-sea Species held in May 2004, which raised some points of concern to PECCOE. The report from that meeting suggested that PECCOE should look into the criteria for the authorisation of vessels for deep-sea fishing. It also refers to further steps, which mandate PECCOE to amend the Scheme with respect to authorisation to fish. It was clear that there was no consensus on which criteria should be applied as the basis of authorisation. The idea was then left to CPs apply their own criteria.

The EU representative wanted to see progress at this meeting on this important issue, which is very much in the public eye. The Chairman went through the positions of the CPs as they appear. He asked if there were any comments on how to proceed. NEAFC had to be seen doing something.

The Icelandic representative reiterated its position at the Technical Meeting in May that we should also use the general rules in the Scheme for deep-sea species. **The EU representative** considered that special measures are required for deep-sea species and the general rules could not be used as they are insufficient to protect fragile species and habitats in deep water. **The Norwegian representative** concurred with **the Icelandic representative**. **The Icelandic representative** shared the opinion that deep-sea species needed protection, but there are other options, for example closed areas. **The representative of the Russian Federation** concurred mostly with **the Icelandic representative** and would not like to treat deep-sea species as a special case.

The Chairman noted we had first to agree on the species list. The list proposed is very extensive, stretching from important commercial species under TAC regimes to very obscure species. **The EU representative** had nothing against implementing closed areas, but more was needed and

something had to be done. **The Norwegian representative** reminded the meeting that Norway would present a proposal for closing areas to trawling at the 23rd Annual Meeting.

The Chairman suggested that the item be kept open and parties considered the matter overnight. **The representative of the Russian Federation** concurred. This was agreed.

A revised document listing criteria for authorising vessels to fish for deep-sea species was presented. It had taken into account points made by other CPs and also the conclusions made at the May 2004 technical meeting.

A number of more important commercial species had been taken out of the species list.

The Chairman corrected a spelling mistake (on board). Iceland wanted to study the proposal more thoroughly especially with respect to discard problems and noted that it is for the Commission to make a decision on the species list. **The Norwegian representative** also wanted to analyse the proposal in more detail. The discard problem was a concern. He found the shorter species list more acceptable to Norway, but needed more time to look closer into the matter. **The representative of the Russian Federation** accepted that authorisation was important, but thought rules on discards should be spelt out more clearly.

The EU representative had attempted to avoid the problem of by-catches at this stage, but wanted to start the discussion on authorisation. It would be up to CPs to decide on by-catch problems individually.

The Chairman did not think the text ruled out that individual CPs could define their own rules on what could be retained on board. Different approaches to discards in CPs should not stop progress towards a system of authorisation. He felt that the reticence to the proposal around the table made it difficult to progress. **The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland)** found the proposal a step forward and felt pressure on CPs to make progress. If nothing is done now, another year will pass before anything happens. It should be possible to make progress at the Annual Meeting. By-catch was the main problem. He could accept the proposed species list.

The Chairman suggested leaving the text as it stands now and allowing CPs to analyse the proposal in more detail before the Annual Meeting. **The Icelandic representative** made a general reservation. The Chairman urged parties to reflect on the proposals and, if they could not accept them, to think of other solutions.

13. Management of the North Atlantic Format

The Chairman noted that this issue was discussed at length at the AHWGC meeting. A special NAF website is under creation. A proposal proposes creating a NEAFC Advisory Group for Data Communications to take care of the custodianship of the NAF. The group should be open to other RFMOs. It is also suggested that this new body will take on the task of advising NEAFC, and possibly other RFMOs, on technical questions. The proposal has a recommendation for detailed terms of reference. NAFO has supported this solution. This was a first attempt to put something in place instead of the AHWGC. A lot more reflection was needed i.e the relationship with PECCOE and other RFMOs and its remit to make its own

recommendations. The Chairman suggested that the item be kept open and the Committee come back to the issue.

An amended proposal taking comments made into consideration was adopted. It was agreed that the proposal should be recommended to the Commission. (Recommendation 5)

14. **Any other business**

- Proposal by **Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland)** for the amendment of Article 7

The proposal had been discussed in AHWGC and after that slightly revised as 07/18. It proposes deleting any reference to specific protocols by letting the text simply say: “Exchange protocols....duly tested and approved by the Commission. There are consequential changes in Attachment V, para. 4.3”.

It was agreed to let the proposal go forward to Commission as a recommendation. (Recommendation 6)

- Proposal by **Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland)** for the amendment of Attachment V

There was agreement in principle that there should be a stowage plan. Some CPs favoured a solution similar to NAFO, whilst **the EU** favoured a simpler system for NEAFC. **The representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland)** was willing to try to accommodate the EU position and revise their proposal.

The Chairman suggested that **the representative of Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland)** and **the EU representative** cooperated to revise the proposal.

A revised proposal was presented. Some editorial corrections were made and adopted.

It was agreed to let the proposal go forward to Commission as a recommendation. (Recommendation 7)

15. **Report to NEAFC’s 23rd Annual Meeting**

It was agreed to circulate the final version of the summary records and accept comments until 13 October. On the basis of this, the report to the Annual Meeting was prepared

16. **Date and place of the next meeting**

It was agreed to propose to the Commission to keep open the option of a meeting in October 2005 in London.

If the reporting period for inspection and surveillance activities is changed to the calendar year, the meeting could take place earlier. If the Secretariat is to produce a compliance report based on reports from CPs on inspection and surveillance activities, this may also affect the timing.

17. **Closure of the meeting**

The Chairman closed the meeting at 1.10 and thanked delegates for their participation.

Annex A



LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

CHAIR: MARTIN NEWMAN

SECRETARIAT

Hoydal, Kjartan

Neves, João

Partridge, Kate

Lewsley, Rachel

Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland)

Joensen, Símun - Faroe Islands

Kruse, Martin - Faroe Islands

Nedergaard, Mads - Greenland

Lillelund, Dorthe - Greenland

ESTONIA

-

EUROPEAN UNION

Brugge, Willem – EC

Graberg, Lars - EC

Mesquita, José – EC

Molina Romero, Jose Antonio - EC

Assmann, Joachim – Germany

Auffret, Yves - France

Bogelius, Anders – Sweden

Castañeda, Monica Martinez - Spain

Eliassen, Jorgen - Denmark

Fitton, Julie – UK

Galbraith, Philip – UK
Mariel, Nicolas - France
Nalty, Chris – Ireland
Perret, Isabelle - France
Pott, Hermann - Germany
Rios, Manuel – Spain
Teixeira, Alexandre – Portugal
Vonk, Harry – Netherlands

ICELAND

Ágústsson, Auðunn
Geirsson, Gylfi
Kristjánsdóttir, Guðríður

NORWAY

Fasmer, Ellen
Johnsen, Stein Åge
Strand, Are


POLAND

-

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Latyshev, Pavel
Povalukhin, Igor

Annex B



LIST OF DOCUMENTS

DOCUMENT	AGENDA ITEM	DOCUMENT TITLE
PE 07/00	-	List of documents
PE 07/01 rev 1	-	Draft agenda
PE 07/02	-	List of participants
PE 07/03 rev 1	10	Proposal by Iceland to amend the NEAFC Scheme of Control and Enforcement, Annex VIII, D. 2) Return messages
PE 07/04 rev 1	11	Proposal by Iceland to continue the Pilot Project outlined in Article 11 of the Scheme of Control and Enforcement.
PE 07/05 Rev 2	5	Norwegian proposal to amend Articles 6 and 10 of the NCP Scheme
PE07/06	9	Norwegian proposal to amend the Scheme of Control and Enforcement
PE 07/07 Rev 1	16	Proposal by Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) to amend Article 7
PE 07/08	16	Proposal by Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland) to amend Attachment V Proposal by the EU on deep-sea species
PE 07/09	12	Implementation statistics prepared by the Secretariat

PE 07/10	6	Annual report on inspection and surveillance activities in the NEAFC RA – Faroe Islands
PE 07/11	6	Annual report on inspection and surveillance activities in the NEAFC RA – European Community
PE 07/12 rev 1	6	Annual report on inspection and surveillance activities in the NEAFC RA – Iceland
PE 07/13	6	Annual report on the implementation of the NCP Scheme - Iceland
PE 07/14	6	
PE 07/15 rev 1	6	Annual report on inspection and surveillance activities in the NEAFC RA – Norway
PE 07/16	5	Observations of NCP vessels, June 2003-2004
PE 07/17 rev 1	5	IUU List A
PE 07/18	16	Proposal for the amendment of Attachment V by Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland)
PE 07/19	16	Explanatory memorandum by Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland)
PE 07/20	9	Addition text to document PE 07/06 –Part 1
PE 07/21	12	Report of the Technical Meeting to examine the Community’s Proposal and any other Submissions, 13-14 May 2004
PE 07/22	7	Paper on port state control inspection form submitted by Denmark (in respect of the Faroe Islands and Greenland)
PE 07/23 Rev 1	9	Proposal by Norway to amend the Scheme regarding transshipment and technical amendments (revision of Documents PE 07/06 and PE 07/20)
		Proposal by the EU on Article 3

NEAFC NCP SCHEME – IUU LIST A – 2004				
Vessel's name	Ext. Reg.	IRCS	Flag-State	Comments
FONTENOVA			Panama	Port inspection
IANNIS			Panama	non-Regulated Resources
LANNIS I			Panama	Port inspection
LISA	50052	J7AG4	Dominica	Redfish fishery
KERGUELEN			Togo	Port inspection
OKHOTINO		J7AC2	Dominica	Redfish fishery
OLCHAN		J7AC7	Dominica	Redfish fishery
OSTROE		J7AC5	Dominica	Redfish fishery
OSTROVETS		J7AC3	Dominica	Redfish fishery
OYRA		J7AC4	Dominica	Redfish fishery
OZHERELYE		J7AI3	Dominica	Redfish fishery
SUNNY LINA		V3NV8	Belize	Transshipping

NEAFC - NCP Scheme

List of NCP vessels observed and/or inspected - 2004

#	CP	Date	Time	Position	IRCS	Ext. Reg.	Name	Flag-State	Comments
1	EU/ESP	14 APR					FONTENOVA	Panama	Port inspection - No RR onboard
2	EU/GBR	21 MAY		N5528 W01628			IANNIS	Panama	Gillnets - Fishing
3	EU/ESP	04 APR					LANNIS I	Panama	Port inspection - No RR onboard
4	EU/ESP	11 APR		N6515 W02810	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Fishing
5	EU/ESP	14 APR		N6116 W02814	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Fishing
6	ISL	14 APR	1250	N6120 W02825	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Fishing
7	EU/ESP	15 APR		N6120 W02824	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Fishing
8	EU/ESP	16 APR		N6126 W02836	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Refused boarding - Fishing
9	EU/ESP	17 APR		N6123 W02829	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Refused boarding - Fishing
10	ISL	19 APR	1452	N6138 W02900	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Fishing
11	EU/ESP	20 APR		N6126 W02811	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Fishing
12	EU/ESP	21 APR		N6123 W02832	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Refused boarding - Fishing

#	CP	Date	Time	Position	IRCS	Ext. Reg.	Name	Flag-State	Comments
13	ISL	26 APR	1422	N6134 W02855	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Fishing
14	ISL	05 MAY	1156	N6155 W02949	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Fishing
15	ISL	17 MAY	1605	N6118 W02823	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Fishing
16	ISL	19 MAY	1918	N6100 W02804	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Fishing
17	ISL	27 MAY	1621	N6222 W03030	J7AG4	50052	LISA	Dominica	Fishing
18	EU/PRT	29 JUN					KERGUELEN	Togo	Port inspection - No infringements
19	ISL	14 APR	1234	N6113 W03010	J7AC2		OKHOTINO	Dominica	Fishing
20	EU/ESP	15 APR		N6109 W02801	J7AC2		OKHOTINO	Dominica	Refused boarding - Fishing
21	ISL	19 APR	1452	N6138 W02900	J7AC2		OKHOTINO	Dominica	Fishing
22	EU/ESP	21 APR		N6128 W02840	J7AC2		OKHOTINO	Dominica	Refused boarding - Fishing
23	ISL	26 APR	1420	N6134 W02903	J7AC2		OKHOTINO	Dominica	Fishing
24	ISL	07 MAY	1156	N6155 W02949	J7AC2		OKHOTINO	Dominica	Fishing
25	ISL	17 MAY	1605	N6118 W02823	J7AC2		OKHOTINO	Dominica	Fishing
26	ISL	19 MAY	1954	N6102 W02802	J7AC2		OKHOTINO	Dominica	Fishing
27	ISL	27 MAY	1508	N6116 W02813	J7AC2		OKHOTINO	Dominica	Fishing
28	EU/PRT	06 JUN		N62.033 W030.567	J7AC2		OKHOTINO	Dominica	Fishing
29	DK/FRO	10 JUN			J7AC2		OKHOTINO	Dominica	

#	CP	Date	Time	Position	IRCS	Ext. Reg.	Name	Flag-State	Comments
30	ISL	10 JUN	900	N6224 W03029	J7AC2		OKHOTINO	Dominica	Fishing
31	EU/ESP	11 APR		N6115 W02810	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
32	EU/ESP	13 APR		N6111 W02802	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
33	ISL	14 APR	1234	N6110 W02941	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Steaming
34	EU/ESP	17 APR		N6123 W02831	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Refused boarding - Fishing
35	EU/ESP	19 APR		N6116 W02828	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
36	ISL	19 APR	1515	N6131 W02850	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
37	EU/ESP	20 APR		N6128 W02814	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
38	EU/ESP	21 APR		N6123 W02831	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
39	ISL	26 APR	1406	N6144 W02916	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
40	EU/ESP	04 MAY		N6111 W02812	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
41	ISL	07 MAY	1232	N6108 W02802	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
42	ISL	18 MAY	1600	N6130 W2844	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
43	ISL	19 MAY	1828	N6055 W02759	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
44	ISL	20 MAY	1412	N6100 W02817	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Boarded - Fishing
45	ISL	27 MAY	1617	N6213 W03026	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
46	EU/PRT	02 JUN		N61.183 W028.O83	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing

#	CP	Date	Time	Position	IRCS	Ext. Reg.	Name	Flag-State	Comments
47	ISL	10 JUN	852	N6207 W03031	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
48	EU/PRT	20 JUN		N62.183 W030.317	J7AC7		OLCHAN	Dominica	Fishing
49	EU/ESP	11 APR		N6115 W02810	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
50	EU/ESP	13 APR		N6111 W02804	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
51	EU/ESP	14 APR		N6116 W02814	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
52	ISL	14 APR	1250	N6120 W02825	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
53	EU/ESP	15 APR		N6125 W02836	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
54	EU/ESP	17 APR		N6117 W02830	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Refused boarding - Fishing
55	ISL	19 APR	1524	N6121 W02832	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
56	EU/ESP	20 APR		N6125 W02810	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
57	EU/ESP	21 APR		N6122 W02827	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
58	ISL	26 APR	1430	N6126 W02835	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
59	EU/ESP	28 APR		N6116 W02816	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Refused boarding - Fishing
60	EU/ESP	29 APR		N6113 W02807	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
61	EU/ESP	30 APR		N6114 W02810	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
62	EU/ESP	02 MAY		N6110 W02841	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
63	EU/ESP	05 MAY		N6111 W02811	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing

#	CP	Date	Time	Position	IRCS	Ext. Reg.	Name	Flag-State	Comments
64	ISL	07 MAY	1232	N6108 W02802	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
65	ISL	17 MAY	1610	N6108 W02807	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
66	ISL	27 MAY	1502	N6109 W02815	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
67	ISL	10 JUN	848	N6202 W03053	J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	Fishing
68	DK/FRO	10 JUN			J7AC5		OSTROE	Dominica	
69	EU/ESP	14 APR		N6116 W02814	J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Refused boarding - Fishing
70	ISL	14 APR	1614	N6120 W02825	J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Fishing
71	EU/ESP	15 APR		N6117 W02817	J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Refused boarding - Fishing
72	ISL	19 APR	1524	N6120 W02824	J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Fishing
73	EU/ESP	21 APR		N6125 W02834	J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Refused boarding - Fishing
74	ISL	26 APR	1422	N6134 W02855	J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Fishing
75	EU/ESP	05 MAY		N6115 W02819	J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Fishing
76	ISL	07 MAY	1227	N6118 W02820	J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Fishing
77	ISL	17 MAY	1610	N6110 W02807	J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Fishing
78	ISL	19 MAY	2124	N6116 W02829	J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Fishing
79	ISL	27 MAY	1614	N6209 W03009	J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Fishing
80	EU/PRT	02 JUN		N61.033 W028.250	J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Fishing

#	CP	Date	Time	Position	IRCS	Ext. Reg.	Name	Flag-State	Comments
81	ISL	10 JUN	851	N6207 W03032	J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Fishing
82	DK/FRO	10 JUN			J7AC3		OSTROVETS	Dominica	Fishing
83	ISL	19 APR	1457	N6129 W02858	J7AC4		OYRA	Dominica	Fishing
84	EU/ESP	21 APR		N6122 W02829	J7AC4		OYRA	Dominica	Fishing
85	ISL	17 MAY	1600	N6130 W02844	J7AC4		OYRA	Dominica	Fishing
86	ISL	27 MAY	1502	N6109 W02815	J7AC4		OYRA	Dominica	Fishing
87	EU/PRT	02 JUN		N61.100 W028.150	J7AC4		OYRA	Dominica	Fishing
88	ISL	10 JUN	848	N6202 W03054	J7AC4		OYRA	Dominica	Fishing
89	DK/FRO	10 JUN			J7AC4		OYRA	Dominica	Fishing
90	EU/PRT	20 JUN		N61.033 W028.250	J7AC4		OYRA	Dominica	Fishing
91	EU/ESP	13 APR		N6108 W02810	J7AI3		OZHERELYE	Dominica	Fishing
92	EU/ESP	21 APR		N6127 W02838	J7AI3		OZHERELYE	Dominica	Refused boarding - Fishing
93	ISL	26 APR	1422	N6134 W02855	J7AI3		OZHERELYE	Dominica	Fishing
94	ISL	05 MAY	1145	N6219 W03030	J7AI3		OZHERELYE	Dominica	Fishing
95	ISL	17 MAY	1600	N6130 W0844	J7AI3		OZHERELYE	Dominica	Fishing
96	ISL	19 MAY	2051	N6111 W02824	J7AI3		OZHERELYE	Dominica	Fishing
97	ISL	27 MAY	1508	N6116 W02813	J7AI3		OZHERELYE	Dominica	Fishing

#	CP	Date	Time	Position	IRCS	Ext. Reg.	Name	Flag-State	Comments
98	EU/PRT	02 JUN		N60.967 W028.333	J7AI3		OZHERELYE	Dominica	Fishing
99	ISL	10 JUN	853	N6209 W03030	J7AI3		OZHERELYE	Dominica	Fishing
100	EU/PRT	20 JUN		N62.067 W030.317	J7AI3		OZHERELYE	Dominica	Fishing
101	EU/SWE	09 JUN		N6554 E00012	V3NV8		SUNNY LINA	Belize	Transshipping